This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/759,282	01/16/2001	Diane Banks	2000-0301B	1137
759	08/06/2004	EXA	MINER	
Mr. S. H. DWORETSKY			BATES, KEVIN T	
AT&T CORP. ROOM 2A-207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE AT&T WAY			2155	
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/759,282	BANKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Bates	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	January 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
*	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-9-2004.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on January 16, 2001.

The Power of Attorney was received on April 9, 2004.

The Information Disclosure State was received on April 9, 2004.

The Drawings and Declaration was received on August 30, 2001.

Claims 1-43 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-32, 35 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burgan (6459892).

Regarding claims 1, 40, and 41, Burgan discloses a computer-based method for a mobile information device to participate in a chat session (Column 2, lines 14 - 15), comprising the activities of: obtaining information regarding at least one state variable (Column 8, lines 5 - 9); applying a predetermined decision logic to the state variable information (Column 5, lines 22 - 29); identifying a chat trigger from the application of the predetermined decision logic to the state variable information (Column 5, lines 27 - 29; Column 6, lines 14 - 24); identifying a chat group associated with the chat trigger;

Art Unit: 2155

and seeking connectivity between an information device and the chat group associated with the chat trigger (Column 7, lines 60 – 64).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 4, and 5, Burgan discloses the act of measuring, detecting, receiving, recognizing a change in the state variable information (Column 5, lines 15 - 29; Column 8, lines 5 - 9).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Burgan discloses determining and programming the decision logic (Column 8, lines 5 – 9; Column 7, lines 60 – 64).

Regarding claims 8, 9, and 10, Burgan discloses receiving and detecting the chat trigger, and associating the chat trigger with the chat group (Column 6, lines 14 - 24).

Regarding claim 11, Burgan discloses identifying the chat group (Column 6, lines 21 – 24).

Regarding claim 12, Burgan discloses offering an identification of the chat group (Column 6, lines 21 - 24).

Regarding claim 13, Burgan discloses offering an identification of available chat groups (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 14, Burgan discloses identifying available chat groups (Column 7, lines 60 – 64).

Regarding claim 15, Burgan discloses identifying a subject matter of available chat groups (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 16, Burgan discloses filtering available chat groups based on one or more predetermined criteria (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 17, Burgan discloses selecting available chat groups based on one or more predetermined criteria (Column 7, lines 60 – 64).

Regarding claim 18, Burgan discloses sorting available chat groups based on one or more predetermined criteria (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 19, Burgan discloses grouping available chat groups based on one or more predetermined criteria (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 20, Burgan discloses rendering available chat groups based on one or more predetermined criteria (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 21, Burgan discloses comprising receiving a chat group identification (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Regarding claim 22, Burgan discloses offering the mobile information device an opportunity to initiate a chat group (Column 6, lines 4 - 10).

Regarding claim 23, Burgan discloses recommending connectivity between the mobile information device and the chat group (Column 7, lines 63 – 64).

Regarding claim 24, Burgan discloses requesting connectivity between the mobile information device and the chat group (Column 8, lines 4-17).

Regarding claim 25, Burgan discloses obtaining connectivity between the mobile information device and the chat group (Column 8, lines 14 - 17).

Regarding claim 26, Burgan discloses providing connectivity between the mobile information device and the chat group (Column 8, lines 14 - 17).

Regarding claim 27, Burgan discloses identifying an initial chat message to post to the chat group (Column 10, lines 35-48).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 28, Burgan discloses exchanging a chat message with the mobile information device (Column 9, line 66 – Column 10, line 2).

Regarding claim 29, Burgan discloses exchanging a chat message between the mobile information device and a chat group associated with the chat trigger (Column 10, lines 10 - 20).

Regarding claim 30, Burgan discloses providing a chat message to the mobile information device (Column 10, line 49 - 64).

Regarding claim 31, Burgan discloses providing a chat message from the mobile information device (Column 10, lines 10 - 20).

Regarding claim 32, Burgan discloses providing a modality translation of a chat message to the mobile information device (Column 10, lines 2-9).

Regarding claim 35, Burgan discloses providing a message from the mobile information device to the chat group (Column 10, lines 10 - 20).

Regarding claim 39, Burgan discloses terminating connectivity upon a change in the state variable information (Column 13, lines 59 - 64).

Regarding claim 42, Burgan discloses a method for an information device to participate in a chat session, comprising the activities of: detecting a chat trigger (Column 5, lines 27 - 29; Column 6, lines 14 - 24); and offering to a mobile information device an identification of chat groups associated with the chat trigger seeking connectivity between the mobile information device and an identified chat group (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 43, Burgan discloses a method for an information device to participate in a chat session, comprising the activities of: detecting a chat trigger (Column 5, lines 27 - 29; Column 6, lines 14 - 24), and identifying at least one information device associated with the chat trigger; and seeking connectivity between at least one information device and a chat session (Column 7, lines 60 - 64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33-34 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgan in view of Bogard (6757365).

Regarding claims 33-34 and 36-38, Burgan does not explicitly indicate translating a chat message from text to speech. Bogard teaches in a chat application usable on wireless devices (Column 6, lines 39 - 44), the use of a voice portal to allow text to speech conversation (Column 8, lines 49 - 50; Column 9, lines 38 - 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow chat features to be able to occur on multiple platforms, more notably platforms which cannot receive text messages (Column 8, lines 45 - 51).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2155

U. S. Patent No. 6301609 issued to Aravamudan, because it discloses wireless messaging and chatting, with rules.

U. S. Patent No. 6553236 issued to Dunko, because it discloses geographical location and messages.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (703) 605-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B

KB July 26, 2004

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER